



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,256	12/12/2003	Stephen M. Strittmatter	2159.0420002/EJH/SAC	9794

53644 7590 06/26/2006

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C.  
1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

WANG, CHANG YU

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1649

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/735,256	STRITTMATTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Chang-Yu Wang	1649	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chang-Yu Wang and Janet Andres.

(3) Shannon A. Carroll.

(2) Elizabeth J. Haanes.

(4) Eugene J. Kim.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: pending claims 31-66.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. discussed that Applicants would submit an amendment to change the functional limitation of claims to decrease inhibition of axonal elongation. 2. Applicant pointed to the sequence alignment of sequence domain to correlate the structure and function. Applicant would provide a board decision to support the limited homology recited in the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required